

LWL
TM-74-87
c. 1

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. ⁷⁴78-87

ARCTIC SLED

by

Robert P. McGowan
Mobility Branch

TECHNICAL LIBRARY
BLDG. 305
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD.
STEAP-TL

June 1974

Final Report

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

COUNTED IN

U. S. ARMY LAND WARFARE LABORATORY

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

20081017 390

LWL
TM-74-87
c. 1

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 74-87	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ARCTIC SLED		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report
7. AUTHOR(s) Robert P. McGowan Mobility Branch		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Land Warfare Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS LWL Task No. 01-M-74
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE June 1974
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TECHNICAL LIBRARY BLDG. 305 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD. STEAP-TL		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Arctic sled Sled Snow sled Manually towed sled		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Comparative tests were conducted with 200 lb capacity manually towed arctic sleds. The sleds tested were a standard US Army sled, a standard Canadian Army sled, and a modified Canadian Army sled. The tests were conducted for the purpose of determining whether the Canadian Army sled would better meet US Army requirements; or, if not, to provide guidance in the design of a new sled. The conclusions were that the dimensions of the slightly larger US Army sled are required to transport the required infantry load items, but Continued on Reverse		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

iii

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

BLOCK 20. ABSTRACT CON'T

that the rigid handle of the Canadian standard sled, and the plastic runner material and the plastic coating of the running surface of the modified Canadian sled were desirable features. It is recommended that consideration be given to dividing the infantry load and transporting it in two sleds.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

AD-784051

PREFACE

This task was conducted by the Mobility Branch of the US Army Land Warfare Laboratory (USALWL). Testing was conducted by the Arctic Test Center, Fort Greely, Alaska; Captain James B. Morris, Test Engineer. Due to the disestablishment of the USALWL, the task has been transferred to the Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center, Fort Belvoir, VA. Canadian Army sleds were furnished by the Canadian Army.

INTRODUCTION

US Army troops in Alaska have reported that the standard 2-man arctic sled lacks durability at low temperatures, downhill control, and side slope steering control. During joint US-Canadian maneuvers, US personnel observed the standard Canadian Army sled, and expressed the opinion that it had features which would be desirable for a new-generation sled design.

DESCRIPTION

Three different sleds were tested:

1. Sled, US Army Standard, 200-pound capacity, FSN 3920-273-8211. The sled is towed by means of a rope. A canvas cover and lashing are provided. The construction is fiberglass, with linen base phenol-formaldehyde runners. The dimensions are 88 inches long, 24 inches wide, and 8 inches deep. The weight is 38 pounds.
2. Sled, Canadian Army Standard, 200-pound capacity, FSN 3920-102-3021. The sled is towed by means of either a rope and/or a pivoted rigid push/tow-bar. The push-bar may also be used for downhill braking and side slope steering control. A canvas cover and cargo lashing are provided. The construction is welded magnesium with linen base phenol-formaldehyde runners. The dimensions are 77 inches long, 20 inches wide, and 5 inches deep. The weight is 31.5 pounds. (See Figure 1.)
3. Modified Canadian Sled. The standard runners were replaced with ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE). Also, the bottom surface was first sandblasted to remove the existing epoxy finish, then coated with a fluorcarbon coating (Whitford Corp Xylan No. 2014).

TECHNICAL LIBRARY
BLDG. 305
ABERDEEN PROOFING PLANT, MD.



Figure 1. Canadian Sled with Four-Man Towing Team

DEVELOPMENT AND TEST

The Canadian sled and the modified Canadian sled were tested to determine if one of these sleds would meet US Army requirements. Since the runner material used on the Canadian sled which is also used on the US Army sled, has been subject to failures in cold weather, one Canadian sled was modified by substituting UHMWPE for the runner material. The UHMWPE has excellent extreme cold weather impact strength. Also--to reduce towing resistance--the entire bottom surface was coated with a low friction and abrasion resistant fluor-carbon coating.

The Canadian sled and the modified Canadian sled were tested to determine the suitability of the over-all configuration and size, the utility of the rigid towing handle, the durability of the magnesium construction, and the durability and friction qualities of the plastic materials used in the modifications. The tests were conducted in comparison with a standard US Army sled. The detailed test results have been reported by the test agency.¹

The conclusion of the test report was that the Canadian sled does not represent an over-all improvement over the US Army sled, but that it does possess desirable features. The desirable features were the rigid push-bar, and the low friction runner and bottom surface plastic materials.

The modified sled clearly required less force to initiate movement in snow. Once in motion, no less towing force seemed necessary; however the measured data of average towing force while in motion was inconclusive.

The rigid push-bar of the Canadian sled was considered to be useful in pushing and maneuvering the sled. However, when the sled was loaded, the push-bar could not be rotated back over the sled because of interference with the cargo. (A 260-pound Basic Tent Group Equipment Load² was used for the tests. A team of four soldiers was used for manual towing, using prescribed towing procedures.³) A detachable push-bar was recommended.

The unfavorable aspects of the Canadian sled performance resulted from its smaller dimensions. The smaller loading area required the cargo to be stacked higher, and this resulted in instability and overturning problems. Also, the ground pressure was higher. This is probably why the low friction material on the modified Canadian sled did not have lower towing resistance in motion - even though the initial break-away force was substantially reduced.

¹Feasibility Test of Arctic Sled, Final Letter Report, USA Arctic Test Center, 15 May 74.

²DA Pamphlet 350-44, Soldier's Handbook for Individual Operations and Survival in Cold Weather Areas.

³Field Manual 31-70, Basic Cold Weather Manual.

Other user comments were made which would be useful to guide the design of a new-generation sled - involving cargo tie-down provisions, cargo cover, and hand-holds for lifting the sled.

DISCUSSION

Both sleds were over-loaded during the tests. This results from the necessary cargo requirements of an infantry squad, and only one sled per squad is authorized. The rated load of both sleds is 200 lbs; the test loads were 260 lbs; and a standard load in practice is 336 lbs. This over-loading increases the ground pressure and causes sinkage and towing resistance in soft snow, especially for the smaller Canadian sled. It is believed that the use of two sleds - and dividing the load between them - would reduce the total manual effort. The ground pressure, sinkage, and towing resistance would be reduced. If the user doctrine were changed to allow two sleds per squad, the smaller size of the Canadian sled might be more appropriate. Also, it is believed that two men sharing one-half the load would require less effort than four men sharing the full load, even without considering the reduced towing resistance. This reduction of effort could result from uneven terrain conditions and the impossibility of equally sharing the load at all times. Each member of the team will occasionally assume nearly the full effort, and with a heavier load this could increase strain and fatigue.

CONCLUSION

The Canadian Army sled is not suitable as a direct replacement for the US Army sled, but the rigid push-bar and the low friction materials used to modify the sled, are features which should be considered in the design of a new-generation sled.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that additional tests be conducted with two Canadian size sleds as a replacement for the one US Army size sled, and if the results are favorable - in terms of lessening the load on the infantryman - the doctrine which allows only one sled per squad should be reconsidered.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>Copies</u>
Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDL 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1
Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	3
Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-P 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1
Director of Defense, Research & Engineering Department of Defense WASH DC 20301	1
Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency WASH DC 20301	3
HQDA (DARD-DDC) WASH DC 20310	4
HQDA (DARD-ARZ-C) WASH DC 20310	1
HQDA (DAFD-ZB) WASH DC 20310	1
HQDA (DAMO-PLW) WASH DC 20310	1
Commander US Army Training & Doctrine Command ATTN: ATCD Fort Monroe, VA 23651	1

Commander	1
US Army Combined Arms Combat Developments Activity	
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027	
Commander	1
US Army Logistics Center	
Fort Lee, VA 23801	
TRADOC Liaison Office	1
HQS USATECOM	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Commander	1
US Army Test and Evaluation Command	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Commander	1
US Army John F. Kennedy Center for Military Assistance	
Fort Bragg, NC 28307	
Commander-In-Chief	1
US Army Pacific	
ATTN: GPOP-FD	
APO San Francisco 96558	
Commander	1
Eighth US Army	
ATTN: EAGO-P	
APO San Francisco 96301	
Commander	1
Eighth US Army	
ATTN: EAGO-FD	
APO San Francisco 96301	
Commander-In-Chief	4
US Army Europe	
ATTN: AEAGC-ND	
APO New York 09403	
Commander	1
US Army Alaska	
ATTN: ARACD	
APO Seattle 98749	

Commander
MASSTER
ATTN: Combat Service Support & Special Programs Directorate
Fort Hood, TX 76544

1

Commander
US MAC-T & JUSMAG-T
ATTN: MACTRD
APO San Francisco 96346

2

Senior Standardization Representative
US Army Standardization Group, Australia
c/o American Embassy
APO San Francisco 96404

1

Senior Standardization Representative
US Army Standardization Group, UK
Box 65
FPO New York 09510

1

Senior Standardization Representative
US Army Standardization Group, Canada
Canadian Forces Headquarters
Ottawa, Canada K1A0K2

1

Director
Air University Library
ATTN: AUL3T-64-572
Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112

1

Battelle Memorial Institute
Tactical Technical Center
Columbus Laboratories
505 King Avenue
Columbus, OH 43201

1

Defense Documentation Center (ASTIA)
Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

12

Commander
Aberdeen Proving Ground
ATTN: STEAP-TL
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

2

Commander
US Army Edgewood Arsenal
ATTN: SMUEA-TS-L
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

1

US Marine Corps Liaison Officer
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

1

Director
Night Vision Laboratory
US Army Electronics Command
ATTN: AMSEL-IV-D (Mr. Goldberg)
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

1

Commander
US Air Force Special Communications Center (USAFSS)
ATTN: SUR
San Antonio, TX 78243

1

Commander
US Army Armament Command
ATTN: AMSAR-ASF
Rock Island, IL 61201

1

Commander
USA Mobility Equipment & Development Center
ATTN: STSFB-HM (Mr. McGeorge)
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

2

National Defense Headquarters
Directorate of Clothing, General Engineering
& Maintenance
ATTN: Mr. W. E. Victor
138 Queen Street
Ottawa, Canada KIA0K2

2